

REMARKS/ARGUMENTS

Applicant would like to thank the Examiner for the detailed examination reported in the Office Action mailed April 19, 2007. In this Office Action, the Examiner has rejected claims 1-10, 12-22 and 24 under 35 U.S.C. § 102(b) as being allegedly anticipated by Borland (U.S. Patent 6,320,943). The Examiner has also rejected claims 11 and 23 under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being allegedly obvious in view of Borland. With respect, Applicant disagrees that the claims are anticipated or obvious in view of the Borland reference for the reasons set forth below.

Borland teaches an electronic directory system and method wherein the directory stores an ID (e.g. a name of a contact), that contact's phone number, as well as a priority score associated with each ID and number. The priority score is determined based on usage, i.e. the frequency with which calls are received from that number or with which calls are made to that number.

Applicant's independent claims 1, 12 and 24, as originally filed, were all directed to a user interface in which a component or composition screen provides together a prompt for receiving the destination from a user and a hot list of candidate destinations from which the user may select to the destination. With respect, Borland does not disclose a wireless communications device having a user interface that has a composition screen that provides together a prompt and a hot list of candidate destinations. Nevertheless, to further define and clarify the invention, Applicant has amended each of the independent claims, namely claims 1, 12 and 24, by specifying that the composition screen provides simultaneously together a prompt for receiving the destination and a hot list of candidate destinations. Borland does not disclose a composition screen that provides *simultaneously together* a prompt for receiving the destination and a hot list of candidate destinations.

Since this feature is not disclosed by Borland, it is respectfully submitted that the rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102 of independent claims 1, 12 and 24, as well as their dependencies, is improper and should be withdrawn.

In view of the foregoing arguments, it is respectfully submitted that this application is now in a condition for allowance. Applicant therefore requests the prompt issuance of a Notice of Allowance.

Applicant herewith submits the fee to obtain a one-month extension of time. It is believed that only this one fee is due; however, if any further extension of time under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136 is required to obtain entry of this response, such extension is hereby respectfully requested. If there are any fees due under 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.16 or 1.17 which are

not enclosed herewith, including any fees required for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. § 1.136, please charge such fees to our Deposit Account No. 19-5113.

Respectfully submitted,

By: /Matthew M. Roy/
Matthew M. Roy
Registration No.48,074
Agent for Applicant

MMR/ma

Address: Ogilvy Renault
1981 McGill College Avenue
Suite 1600
Montreal, QC H3A 2Y3
Canada